## **Identifying Undetected Prevalent Disease:** The First-Pass Effect in Diabetic Eye Screening Programmes

Recivall P Salongcay MD MPM, Tunde Peto MD PhD, Paolo S Silva MD





Comparative cross-sectional evaluation of the rates of diabetic retinopathy (DR)

# Methods



To compare rates of referable DR (refDR) identified on a rapid assessment of avoidable blindness and DR (RAAB+DR) with community-based diabetic eye screening programme (DESP)

RAAB+DR

(n = 341)

239 (70.1%)

38 (11.1%)

35 (10.3%)

10 (2.9)

19 (5.6%)

34 (10.0%)

9 (2.6%)

86 (18.7%)

#### RAAB+DR

- RAAB+DR performed following was standard methodology using random compact segment sampling
- Ultrawide field imaging (UWFI) was added to assess DR and diabetic macular edema (DME).
- RAAB+DR was performed from August to October 2017 in preparation for the implementation of the DESP.
- DESP was performed using a validated methodology of 5field 50-degree mydriatic retinal imaging (disc, maculacentered, superior, inferior and temporal)

DESP

- Taken using mobile cameras that has been shown to have substantial levels of agreement for DR/DME (K<sub>w</sub>=0.79/0.81) compared to standard Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) photography.
- DESP is ongoing, and the data presented was collected from February to March 2021 and represent 10.6% of the screening target.

RefDR was defined as moderate nonproliferative DR (NPDR) or worse, any DME or ungradable images.

## II Results



- RAAB+DR and DESP evaluated the same target population
- Total of 1,609 individuals were evaluated in the RAAB+DR, with 341 (21.2%) people with diabetes (PwDM)
- DESP evaluated 562 PwDM

## Conclusions

In this cohort, there was a 61% increase in the rate of refDR that will need to be accommodated in the existing health care system.

For questions or queries, please email: r.salongcay@qub.ac.uk





previously

the

In



No DR

PDR

Mild NPDR

With DME

Images

RefDR

initial

Ungradable

**Moderate NPDR** 

Severe NPDR

vear of screening there will be a significant <u>first-pass effect</u>, detecting higher levels of undetected Due to this first-pass effect, the planning of **DESP** needs to account for this initially large demand placed on eye care services.



DESP

(n = 562)

335 (59.6%)

92 (16.4%)

51 (9.1%)

39 (6.9%)

33 (5.9%)

30 (5.3%)

31 (5.5%)

169 (30.1%)