Is It Worse Doctor?

David Sculfor BSc (Hons) MCOptom Consultant Optometrist and Head of Optometry Buckinghamshire Healthcare

Is it worse doctor?

Common question Usually as the patient enters the room ③ What does the patient think 'worse' means? What do we think worse means? Has `it' really changed? e.g. visual acuity

Agenda

Visual acuity measurement What are we measuring? Why and how do we measure it? Chart types Live measuring Has it changed doctor? Causes of variation Can we do better?

What are we measuring? Limit Ability of visual system to see fine detail High contrast – plain black on white



How low can you go?

Theoretical limit due to
 Optical properties of the eye
 45 minutes of arc
 Separation of cones at the fovea
 Also 45 minutes of arc



Measuring acuity
Part of the DESP screening protocol
Used in M1 maculopathy definition

6/12 or worse with retinopathy within 1DD
Probably not very good

Letter charts commonly used



Are letters any good?

Familiar task 26 letters so hard to guess, but... Some letters easier to read than others Approx equal legibility BS4274 – DEFHNPRUVZ Sloan – SDKHNOCVRZ



Herman Snellen 1834-1908 www.zazzle.co.uk

Well done Herman, but...

Snellen chart has serious flaws Crowding phenomenon Number of letters on line From one to eight Spacing between letters Spacing between lines Irregular jump in size ■ 6/60 to 6/36 = 40% change ■ 6/12 to 6/9 = 25% change Recording system



LogMAR charts

LogMAR = \log_{10} Minimum Angle of Resolution Minimum gap that can be HVZDS detected = $1 \min \text{ of arc}$ Log₁₀ 1 = zero so 6/6 on logMAR chart scores 0.00 NCVKD 0.9 Standard scoring not CZSHN 0.8 intuitive ONVSR 0.7 Score -0.02 per letter KDNRO 0.6 E.g three letters on 0.2 line ZKCSV DVOHC gives score of 0.24 ОНУСК HZCKO Better than 6/6 gives minus -0.1 -0.2 score so 6/5 = -0.10-0.3

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

Advantages of logMAR chart

Same task at every size 5 letters per line (crowding) Equal mix of letter difficulty on each line Each line 33% smaller than one above Spacing between lines equal to letter size below Vision below 1.00 (6/60)? Halve the test distance and add 0.30 to the score

HVZDS ΝСVКΕ CZSHN 0.8 ONVSR 0.7 KDNRO 0.6 0.5 VOHC 0.4 0.3 VCK 0.2 ско 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3

Why don't we use LogMAR more?

Disadvantages (not major) Big chart 60cm square Slower as more letters to read Scoring clunky But you get used to it... More M1 false positives Maybe because of poor technique



Why do measurements vary? There is no such thing as an absolutely accurate measurement Repeat test 10 times one after the other Same subject, operator and equipment Will we get the same result each time? Very unlikely, yet the subject hasn't changed! Called test-retest variability (TRV)

Distribution curves

Physiological measurements tend to show normal distribution (or similar)



So how tall am I?



95% certain that my true height is between 1.795cm and 1.865cm

TRV – Test-retest variability

Test-retest variability Higher TRV = lower ability to detect change □ If the test itself varies how do you know whether change is probably genuine or just chance? 'Change' must at least exceed the TRV



Has my vision changed doctor?

To be reasonably certain (95% confident) the 'change ' is genuine (significant) LogMAR +/-0.07 to 0.20 = 3.5 to 10 letters -+/-0.15 = 7 or 8 letters for children Snellen +/-5 to 16 letters Worse for patients with pathology And that's under ideal test conditions... But don't ignore a trend

Can we do better?

Causes of variation Glasses (varifocals) Test distance Illumination Repeat test, even dilated The Terminator



The Terminator

At what point do you allow the patient to stop attempting to read letters?

 logMAR
 Not until they get at least half the letters on a line wrong

H X Z D S 0.9 NXXD 0.8 CZSHN 0.7

VA = 0.86 LogMAR

Snellen
 No established method

MYTA	6/18
HUWOX	6/12
οχτνυγ	6/9

Complete line and any number on next line?
 From 6/18 down

 Continue if up to 2 errors on a line?

Take home messages

Don't read too much into small apparent changes in acuity But don't ignore a trend! Consider switching to LogMAR charts Ideally electronic Agree on and implement a termination point